That's right, the same C++ STL asynchronous parallel code runs on CPUs & GPUs

MUHAMMAD HASEEB* and WEILE WEI*, National Energy Research and Scientific Computing Center, USA

JACK DESLIPPE, National Energy Research and Scientific Computing Center, USA

BRANDON COOK, National Energy Research and Scientific Computing Center, USA

CCS Concepts: • Computing methodologies \rightarrow Parallel programming languages; Distributed programming languages; • Software and its engineering \rightarrow Parallel programming languages; Distributed programming languages; Runtime environments.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: C++, stdpar, stdexec, sender/receiver, stencils, CPU-GPU computing

ACM Reference Format:

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

High-performance computing (HPC) applications are increasingly shifting towards asynchronous parallelism to extract maximum performance from modern GPU-accelerated supercomputers. To achieve this, they employ combinations of programming models, languages, and compilers. This practice often leads to significant challenges on performance, portability, and productivity (P3) along with software engineering efforts as the underlying hardware varies across the HPC systems [2]. Recently, many programming models provide high-level parallelism APIs to HPC developers in order to hide architecture complexity, including Kokkos [16], RAJA [4], SYCL [14], OpenACC [9], C++ standard parallelism (stdpar) [6] and OpenMP [5] [11], [10], [1]. Although these frameworks provide different advantages, they still need to be carefully configured, installed, and used to provide decent performance and portability. Further, in most cases, the developers often need to mix multiple frameworks depending on their application's needs.

Recently, a C++ model for asynchrony has been voted into C++ 26 standard, called std::exuecution or stdexec [7], [8]. stdexec standardizes a C++ asynchrony API for where the compute should execute. stdexec contains three major abstractions: 1) schedulers - obtained from execution resources describing where to run a piece of code. 2) senders and receivers - send and receive a composition of compute work asynchronously to and from the input schedulers. 3) a set of customizable asynchronous algorithms - consume, compose, and optionally return senders.

In this work, we employ an experimental, open-source, and standard reference implementation of the stdexec by NVIDIA [13], to evaluate standard C++26 based asynchronous parallelism across CPUs and GPUs for multiple scientific HPC applications. These applications include ADEPT (low-level CUDA-accelerated Smith-Waterman) applications [3], Heat Equation codes adapted from AMReX [17] and Stencil codes from HPX [12]. In addition to stdexec, we also demonstrate several modern C++23 features including mdspan [15], stdpar, ranges and more, in our application implementations.

*Both authors contributed equally to this research.

⁴⁹ © 2023 Association for Computing Machinery.

50 Manuscript submitted to ACM

 <sup>45 —
 46</sup> Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not
 47 made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components
 48 of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to
 48 redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

We begin with the ADEPT applications and compose its *main*-level asynchronous task flow using stdexec: then and 53 54 stdexec::bulk algorithms. In each algorithm, we implement the required data partitioning and launched asynchronous 55 parallel CUDA kernels to perform the low-level Smith-Waterman sequence alignment. Due to the unavailability of 56 explicit parallelism controls, GPU shared memory, and warp and thread-level primitives in stdexec, the ADEPT CUDA 57 kernels could not be directly ported to stdexec using GPU schedulers. For AMReX Heat Equations and Stencil code 58 59 implementations, we employ combinations of C++23 features with stdexec to implement different variations (or flavors) 60 of the codes using loops and recursions that run on CPUs and GPUs. These flavors include using mdspan, mdspan and 61 stdpar, mdspan and stdexec, mdspan, stdpar and stdexec, and finally, directly using schedulers from stdexec. In all 62 63 these implementations, we preserve the original task flow with minimal optimizations for fairness. For instance, we 64 use return by value semantics in recursions for implicit data copying, instead of simply swapping pointers, to retain 65 the explicit copy operations in the original implementations. It is worthwhile to note that our codes can be further 66 trivially modified to run on either CPUs or GPUs by either modifying the compiler flags -stdpar=gpu/multicore for 67 stdpar flavors or by swapping between gpu and multicore schedulers for stdexec flavors. Finally, we also implement a 68 69 ping-pong stress benchmark to study data traffic in stdpar based codes with respect to the memory allocation schemes. 70 i.e., allocated as either simple pointers such as T *ptr = new T[N] or STL conatiners such as std::vector <T> 71 vect(N). 72

73 Our experimental results (speedup and roofline analyses) show that the stdexec powered C++ codes perform similar 74 to their original (non-stdexec) implementations for all applications. However, we observed that the kernel launch 75 latencies in stdexec implementations were significantly higher (620us) than those in their CUDA counterparts (10us). 76 We also observe a severe load imbalance across GPUs when using MultiGPU scheduler in stdexec implementations. 77 These two issues have been reported to the NVIDIA compiler team. Our experimental results for stdpar data traffic are 78 79 particularly interesting. We found that in case of pointers, the data communications are particularly smart and only the 80 portions of memory accessed by one side (host or device) also needed at the other side are communicated. However, in 81 case of STL containers, the H2D communication is highly unoptimized and may transfer entire data container to the 82 device, even when particularly not accessed at the device. The D2H communications, on the other hand, are optimized 83 84 like when using pointers. We also observed that in both cases (pointer or STL containers), the data communications 85 are split over thousands of small transfers - average H2D Mbytes/call = 0.019, D2H Mbytes/call = 0.175 (~ 10× H2D) -86 instead of single tranfer incurring additional latencies. 87

To summarize, this work evaluates the C++ 26 stdexec asynchronous model on top of several HPC applications. We use the experimental implementation of the stdexec model by NVIDIA, along with modern C++17 and 23 features to develop multiple HPC scientific applications. Our experimental results show identical parallel performance for stdexec codes. We also observed unexpectedly high launch latencies for stdexec codes as compared to their CUDA 92 versions which have been reported to the NVIDIA team. We also encountered several software engineering challenges 93 including working around NVHPC compiler limitations, compilation flags, and correctly setting up dependencies. We are currently actively developing more HPC applications involving complex task graphs and algorithmic challenges to better evaluate the application of stdexec in real-world science.

97 98 99

100

88 89

90

91

94

95

96

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

101 This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, which is supported by the 102 Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. 103

104

That's right, the same C++ STL asynchronous parallel code runs on CPUs & GPUs

105 **REFERENCES**

123

124

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

156

- [1] Victor Artigues, Katharina Kormann, Markus Rampp, and Klaus Reuter. 2020. Evaluation of performance portability frameworks for the implementation of a particle-in-cell code. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience 32, 11 (2020), e5640.
- [2] Yuuichi Asahi, Thomas Padioleau, Guillaume Latu, Julien Bigot, Virginie Grandgirard, and Kevin Obrejan. 2022. Performance portable Vlasov code
 with C++ parallel algorithm. In 2022 IEEE/ACM International Workshop on Performance, Portability and Productivity in HPC (P3HPC). IEEE, Dallas,
 TX, 68–80.
- 111
 [3] Muaaz G Awan, Jack Deslippe, Aydin Buluc, Oguz Selvitopi, Steven Hofmeyr, Leonid Oliker, and Katherine Yelick. 2020. ADEPT: a domain 112

 112
 independent sequence alignment strategy for gpu architectures. BMC bioinformatics 21, 1 (2020), 1–29.
- [4] David A Beckingsale, Jason Burmark, Rich Hornung, Holger Jones, William Killian, Adam J Kunen, Olga Pearce, Peter Robinson, Brian S Ryujin, and Thomas RW Scogland. 2019. RAJA: Portable performance for large-scale scientific applications. In 2019 IEEE/ACM International Workshop on Performance, Portability and Productivity in HPC (P3HPC). IEEE, Denver, CO, 71–81.
- [5] Leonardo Dagum and Ramesh Menon. 1998. OpenMP: an industry standard API for shared-memory programming. *IEEE computational science and engineering* 5, 1 (1998), 46–55.
- [6] Graham Lopez David Olsen and Bryce Adelstein Lelbach. 2022. Accelerating Standard C++ with GPUs Using stdpar | NVIDIA Technical Blog –
 developer.nvidia.com. https://developer.nvidia.com/blog/accelerating-standard-c-with-gpus-using-stdpar/. [Accessed 03-08-2023].
- [7] Michal Dominiak et. al. 2023. P2300R7: 'std::execution' open-std.org. https://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2023/p2300r7.html.
 [Accessed 03-08-2023].
- 121
 [8] Michael Garland et. al. 2023. A Unified Executors Proposal for C++ | P0443R14 open-std.org. https://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/

 122
 papers/2020/p0443r14.html. [Accessed 03-08-2023].
 - [9] Rob Farber. 2016. Parallel programming with OpenACC. Newnes, USA.
 - [10] Philipp Grete, Forrest W Glines, and Brian W O'Shea. 2020. K-athena: a performance portable structured grid finite volume magnetohydrodynamics code. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems 32, 1 (2020), 85–97.
- [11] Muhammad Haseeb, Nan Ding, Jack Deslippe, and Muaaz Awan. 2021. Evaluating Performance and Portability of a core bioinformatics kernel on multiple vendor GPUs. In 2021 International Workshop on Performance, Portability and Productivity in HPC (P3HPC). IEEE, St. Louis, MO, 68–78.
 [12] Hartmut Kaisar Patrick Diskl. Advin S Lamaina Bruca Adaletian Lalbach. Pares Amini Agurtín Barta, John Biddiscomba Staran P. Brandt. Nilumi
 - [12] Hartmut Kaiser, Patrick Diehl, Adrian S Lemoine, Bryce Adelstein Lelbach, Parsa Amini, Agustín Berge, John Biddiscombe, Steven R Brandt, Nikunj Gupta, Thomas Heller, et al. 2020. Hpx-the c++ standard library for parallelism and concurrency. Journal of Open Source Software 5, 53 (2020), 2352.
 - [13] Eric Niebler. 2023. GitHub NVIDIA/stdexec: 'std::execution', the proposed C++ framework for asynchronous and parallel programming. github.com. https://github.com/nvidIA/stdexec/. [Accessed 03-08-2023].
 - [14] Ruyman Reyes and Victor Lomüller. 2016. SYCL: Single-source C++ accelerator programming. In Parallel Computing: On the Road to Exascale. IOS Press, USA, 673–682.
 - [15] Christian Robert Trott, David S Hollman, Daniel Sunderland, Mark Frederick Hoemmen, Carter Edwards, and Bryce Adelstein-Lelbach. 2019. mdspan in C++: A Case Study in the Integration of Performance Portable Features into International Language Standards. Technical Report. Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States); Sandia
 - [16] Christian R Trott, Damien Lebrun-Grandié, Daniel Arndt, Jan Ciesko, Vinh Dang, Nathan Ellingwood, Rahulkumar Gayatri, Evan Harvey, Daisy S Hollman, Dan Ibanez, et al. 2021. Kokkos 3: Programming model extensions for the exascale era. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed* Systems 33, 4 (2021), 805–817.
 - [17] Weiqun Zhang, Ann Almgren, Vince Beckner, John Bell, Johannes Blaschke, Cy Chan, Marcus Day, Brian Friesen, Kevin Gott, Daniel Graves, et al. 2019. AMReX: a framework for block-structured adaptive mesh refinement. *The Journal of Open Source Software* 4, 37 (2019), 1370.

3